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Still Preliminary
Motivation

- Worker absence: frequent in many countries (2 to 3% of annual work time in the US, UK & France)

- Empirical evidence on the causal effect of worker absence on productivity is scarce (Clotfelter et al., 2009; Duflo et al., 2012; Herrmann and Rockoff, 2012)

- Even much less is known on organizations’ strategies to cope with this disruptive event

- **I address this issue for teachers:**
  - When a teacher is absent, how does it hurt student achievement?
  - How easily do schools manage to mitigate this effect with substitute teachers?
What this Paper Does

• **The aim of this paper is:**
  1. to estimate the effect of teacher absence on student test scores in 9th grade
  2. to study how the effect of teacher absences is mitigated by the assignment of substitute teachers
  3. to study how the effect of substitute teachers depend on their type (tenured substitutes vs. contract teachers)

• **Important questions because:**
  • Impact of worker health and effort on productivity
  • Specific human capital and its relationship with worker substituability
  • Teachers: consequences for educational inequalities
Setting

• **Two types of absences**:
  
  • Less than a year: not systematically replaced + disruptive for students
  
  • One year or more: systematically replaced + not disruptive for students

  ⇒ disentangle substitute teacher’s quality effect from other effects

• **Two main types of substitute teachers**:
  
  • Tenured teachers assigned to a ZIP code area (*Titulaires sur Zone de Remplacement*)
  
  • Contract teachers hired on the spot, not trained nor certified
Data and Empirical Approaches:

- **Focus on 9th grade**: Math, French and History teachers and their students

- **Data**: Administrative data matching each teacher to her student (2006-2015):

- **Empirical Approaches**:
  - Less than a year absences: exploit variations within teacher, across time, controlling for students’ fixed characteristics (teacher and student fixed effects)
  - One year or more absences: exploit variations within student, across topics in substitute teachers assignment
Preliminary Results: Less than a Year Absence

- Ten days of non replaced days decrease student test scores by 0.6% of a standard deviation.
- The number of replaced days on student test scores does not have any statistically significant compensating effect.
- By type of substitute teacher:
  - One additional replaced day with a tenured substitute teacher (rather than no class) mitigates 11% of the marginal impact of absence.
  - One additional replaced day of substitution with a contract teacher (rather than no class) increases the marginal impact of absence by 14%.
Preliminary Results: One Year Absences

- Having a contract teacher for a year rather than a regular teacher decreases student test scores by **8.9 % of a standard deviation**
  - Comparable to what would be the yearly effect of the short term absences
- Having a tenured substitute teacher for a year rather than a regular teacher decreases student test scores by **1.4 % of a standard deviation**
Related Literature
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Teacher absences can hurt students through different mechanisms:

1. **Loss in instructional time**

2. **Disruption**:
   - substitute teachers do not have any student-specific human capital
   - regular teachers do not accumulate student-specific human capital during their absence

3. **Difference in ability and experience** between the regular and the substitute teachers
Different potential mechanisms depending on the type of absences:

1. **Less than a year absences**:
   - Loss in instructional time
   - Disruption
   - Difference of ability/experience between regular and substitute teachers: tenured vs. contract substitute

2. **One year of absence**:
   - Difference of ability/experience between regular and substitute teachers: tenured vs. contract substitute
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French Middle School: Outline

- Teachers are topic-specific
- There are no tracks: students keep the same peers for all their different classes
- In 9th grade, students take a centralized standardized test score in French, Mathematics and History
Teacher Absence Leave Regulation

- **Wage setting:**
  - Teachers are civil servants managed centrally by the government
  - Their wages do not vary across schools and do not depend on output
  - Teachers cannot be fired

- **Absence Leave regulation:**
  - Fully paid during their absence leave
  - No limit in the number of days in paid absence each teacher can take per year (unlike the US)
Types of Absences and Substitute Teachers

- **Two types of absences:**
  - One year or more: systematically replaced + no disruption for students
  - Less than a year: not systematically replaced + disruption for students

- **Two types of substitute teachers:**
  - Tenured teachers assigned to a ZIP code area (*Titulaires sur Zone de Remplacement*)
  - Contract teachers hired on the spot
Substitute Teachers Hiring

- **Tenured Substitute Teachers** are certified teachers who:
  - did not get their choice in the centralized assignment procedure to assign teachers (modified version of the deferred acceptance mechanism)
  - choose to become substitute teachers

- **Contract teachers**:
  - Conditions: no criminal record and Bachelor’s Degree
  - Centralized online application
  - Shortage: educational authority can directly contact people who are registered to the unemployment office
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Data

Comprehensive administrative data on teachers and students in middle school (2006 - 2015):

- **Teachers**:
  - national identifier, school, type of assignment (permanent, yearly, temporary), teaching subject, experience, age, gender
  - Teachers’ absence and substitution spells: day, month and year of the spells, detailed cause of absence

- **Middle school students**:
  - encrypted national identifier, socio-demographic characteristics, school, grade and classroom attended
  - centralized externally graded test scores: DNB (end of 9th grade)
Cumulative Distribution of the Number of Days of Absence per Teacher-Year
Share of Replaced Days by Teacher-Year

Share of replaced days vs. Number of business days of absence per year.

- Substitute Contract Teacher
- Substitute Contract Teacher or Tenured Substitute Teacher

Graph showing the share of replaced days against the number of business days of absence per year.
Substitute Teachers Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regular Teacher.</th>
<th>Tenured Sub.</th>
<th>Contract Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.36 (0.48)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.49)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>43.8 (10.3)</td>
<td>39.0 (10.5)</td>
<td>37.9 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Experience (in years)</td>
<td>14.1 (8.3)</td>
<td>10.0 (8.8)</td>
<td>4.6 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A year or less of experience</td>
<td>0.02 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Certification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrégation</td>
<td>0.05 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.22)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPES</td>
<td>0.77 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.17 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.41)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Evaluations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Observation Grade (*/60)</td>
<td>46.82 (5.99)</td>
<td>44.84 (6.39)</td>
<td>11.85 (9.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Principal Grade (*/100)</td>
<td>39.02 (10.05)</td>
<td>39.15 (11.82)</td>
<td>13.86 (8.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Absences</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of days of absence</td>
<td>6.58 (16.15)</td>
<td>7.48 (17.07)</td>
<td>5.53 (11.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of teachers</td>
<td>193,766</td>
<td>67,541</td>
<td>23,035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Contract Teacher Performances at the Certification Exam

### A. Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Contract Teachers Candidates</th>
<th>Other Candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agreg.</td>
<td>CAPES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>37.72 (7.75)</td>
<td>35.17 (7.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.53 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Contract Teachers Candidates</th>
<th>Other Candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agreg.</td>
<td>CAPES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing Rate</td>
<td>0.03 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Part Grade (/20)</td>
<td>3.91 (2.52)</td>
<td>5.67 (3.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Part Grade (/20)</td>
<td>7.00 (3.78)</td>
<td>7.30 (4.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of obs</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1,232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proportion of Substitute Teachers by School Percentile Rank at the 9th grade exam
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Teacher and Student Effects Model: Intuition

- **Standard approach**: exploit variations within teacher, across time in the number of days of absence with teacher fixed effect (Herrmann and Rockoff, 2012)

- **Main limitation of the standard approach**: shocks in student composition (reverse causality)

- **What I do**: also control for student fixed characteristics and ability with both student and teacher fixed effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Nb of days of teacher’s abs.</th>
<th>Student’s test score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Dupont</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Durant</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>Caroline</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Henri</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Henri</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher and Student Fixed Effects Model

- **Identification assumption**: variations within teacher across year in the number of days of absence/number of days with substitute are not correlated with topic-specific student ability

- **Model**:

  \[ Y_{i,j,t} = A_{j,t} \beta + R_{j,t} \gamma + \theta_j + \theta_i + \theta_t + e_{i,j,t} \]  

  where:
  - \( Y_{i,j,t} \) student \( i \) test score with teacher \( j \) in year \( t \);
  - \( A_{j,t} \) the number of work day absence of teacher \( j \) in year \( t \);
  - \( R_{j,t} \) the number of replaced work days of teacher \( j \) in year \( t \);
  - \( \theta_i \) student fixed-effect;
  - \( \theta_j \) teacher fixed-effect
  - \( \theta_t \) year fixed-effect.
### Impact of days of absence/ replacement (in % of standard deviation) in 9th grade (2006-2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in % of a SD</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nb days of absence (in % of SD)</td>
<td>-0.291***</td>
<td>-0.101***</td>
<td>-0.086***</td>
<td>-0.061***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of replaced days (in % of SD)</td>
<td>0.187***</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av. nb of days of abs.</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av. nb of replaced days</td>
<td>[4.48]</td>
<td>[4.48]</td>
<td>[4.48]</td>
<td>[4.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Fixed effect</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fixed effect</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher experience &amp; seniority</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student background*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of observations: 457,676 457,676 457,676 14,874,904

*Student background : parents’ occupation and financial aid status. All regressions include year x topic fixed effects except (1) and (4). (4) includes year fixed effects. Robust standard errors clustered by teacher.
Impact of days of absence/replacement (in % of standard deviation) in 9th grade (2006-2015) by type of substitute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in % of a SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb days of absence</td>
<td>-0.273***</td>
<td>0.110***</td>
<td>-0.088***</td>
<td>-0.065***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of replaced days x tenured sub.</td>
<td>0.344***</td>
<td>0.064***</td>
<td>0.052***</td>
<td>0.007***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of replaced days x contract sub.</td>
<td>0.228***</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.019***</td>
<td>-0.009***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av. nb of days of abs.</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
<td>[9.91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av. nb replaced days tenured sub.</td>
<td>[2.33]</td>
<td>[2.33]</td>
<td>[2.33]</td>
<td>[2.33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av. nb replaced days contract sub.</td>
<td>[2.15]</td>
<td>[2.15]</td>
<td>[2.15]</td>
<td>[2.15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher fixed effect</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student fixed-effect</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher experience &amp; seniority</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student background*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>457,676</td>
<td>457,676</td>
<td>457,676</td>
<td>14,874,904</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student background: parents’ occupation and financial aid status. All regressions include year x topic fixed effects except (1) and (4). (4) includes year fixed effects. Robust standard errors clustered by teacher.
Robustness Checks: Placebo Test Across Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in % of a SD</th>
<th>Current Year’s Absences</th>
<th>Prior Year’s Absences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nb days of absence</td>
<td>-0.061***</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of replaced days</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Fixed effect</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fixed effect</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Fixed Effect</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher experience &amp; seniority</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb of observations</td>
<td>6,629,630</td>
<td>6,629,630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Within Student, Across Topics Model

- **Idea**: exploit within student, across topics variations in substitute teacher assignment
- **Level of observation**: student x topic
- **Identification assumption**: substitute teachers are not assigned to students who are relatively less able in their topic
- **Model**:
  \[
  A_{i,s,t} = 1_{i,s} \beta + \theta_i + \theta_s + \theta_t + e_{i,s,t}
  \]  
  (2)

where:
  - \( A_{i,st} \) student \( i \) grade in subject \( s \) and year \( t \);
  - \( 1_{i,s} \) : dummy equal to 1 if the teacher of subject \( s \) is a substitute teacher (tenured or contract)
  - \( \theta_i \) student fixed-effect
  - \( \theta_s \) subject \( s \) fixed-effect
  - \( \theta_t \) year fixed-effect.

- **Coefficient of interest**: \( \beta \)
### Effect of One Year Substitute Teacher in 9th grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tenured Sub. Teacher</strong></td>
<td>-.076***</td>
<td>-.052***</td>
<td>-.014***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.001)</td>
<td>(.002)</td>
<td>(.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Teacher</strong></td>
<td>-.224***</td>
<td>-.120***</td>
<td>-.089***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.002)</td>
<td>(.003)</td>
<td>(.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School Fixed Effect</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Fixed Effect</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year x Topics Fixed Effect</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Controls</strong>*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nb of observations</strong></td>
<td>13,901,866</td>
<td>13,901,866</td>
<td>13,901,866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Controls: Teacher experience, seniority, certification. Robust standard errors clustered by student.
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Preliminary Results: Less than a Year Absence

- Ten days of absence decrease student test scores by 0.65% of a standard deviation.
- The number of replaced days on student test scores does not have any statistically significant compensating effect.
- By type of substitute teacher:
  - One additional replaced day with a tenured substitute teacher (rather than no class) mitigates 11% of the marginal impact of absence.
  - One additional replaced day of substitution with a contract teacher (rather than no class) increases the marginal impact of absence by 14%.
Preliminary Results: One Year Absences

- Having a contract teacher for a year rather than a regular teacher decreases student test scores by **8.9% of a standard deviation**
  - Comparable to what would be the yearly effect of the short term absences

- Having a tenured substitute teacher for a year rather than a regular teacher decreases student test scores by **1.4% of a standard deviation**
Policy Implications

- **Short term absences**: Whatever their type, substitute teachers seem unable to significantly mitigate the negative effect of short term absences on student achievement. Policy makers’ focus should therefore be on reducing this type of absences.

- **Long term absences**: Contract substitute teachers significantly harm student achievement whereas tenured substitute teachers seem to do a good job. Give priority to tenured substitute teachers for long term assignment.
Further Research

- Focus on maternity leave
- Instrument for absences: number of days of absence in the school (absence culture in the school, jacknife estimates): Ost and Schiffman (2017)
- Instrument for substitute teachers: number of other teachers, in the same topic, absent at the same time, in the same replacement area
- Data on student violence